Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **000**, 1–?? (2014) Printed 10 July 2014 (MN LATEX style file v2.2)

Pre–main sequence accretion and the formation of multiple populations in Globular Clusters

Francesca D'Antona[1⋆], Paolo Ventura[1], Thibaut Decressin[1], Enrico Vesperini[2], & Annibale D'Ercole[3]
[1] *INAF- Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, via di Frascati 33, I-00040 Monteporzio (Italy)*
[2] *Department of Astronomy, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN (USA)*
[3] *INAF- Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna, via Ranzani 1, I-40127 Bologna (Italy)*

arXiv:1407.2424v1 [astro-ph.SR] 9 Jul 2014

Accepted . Received ; in original form

ABSTRACT
We investigate the viability of a model in which the chemical anomalies among Globular Cluster stars are due to accretion of gas onto the protostellar discs of low mass stars. This model has been suggested as a way to reduce the large initial cluster masses required by other models for the formation of multiple stellar generations. We numerically follow the evolution of the accreting stars, and we show that the structure of the seed star does not remain fully convective for the whole duration of the accretion phase. Stellar populations showing discrete abundances of helium in the core, that seem to be present in some clusters, might be formed with this mechanism only if accretion occurs before the core of the stars become radiative (within 2-3 Myr) or if a thermohaline instability is triggered, to achieve full mixing after the accretion phase ends. We also show that the lithium abundances in accreted structures may vary by orders of magnitude in equal masses obtained by accreting different masses. In addition, the same thermohaline mixing which could provide a homogeneous helium distribution down to the stellar center, would destroy any lithium surviving in the envelope, so that both helium homogeneity and lithium survival require that the accretion phase be limited to the first couple of million years of the cluster evolution. Such a short accretion phase strongly reduces the amount of processed matter available, and reintroduces the requirement of an extremely large initial mass for the protocluster.

Key words: stars: evolution — stars: pre–main–sequence — stars: abundances — globular clusters: general — globular clusters: individual: NGC2808, w Cen, NGC104

1 INTRODUCTION

Several models have been proposed to understand the origin of multiple populations in Globular Clusters (GCs), among which the most developed are the "AGB scenario", in which the site of processing are the hot bottom burning envelopes of massive asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars (Ventura et al. 2001; D'Antona & Caloi 2004; Karakas et al. 2006; D'Ercole et al. 2008; Bekki 2011), and the "fast rotating massive stars (FRMS) scenario" (Prantzos & Charbonnel 2006; Meynet et al. 2006; Decressin et al. 2007), in which the site is the interior of fast rotating massive stars. Other interesting models have been proposed (Marcolini et al. 2009; de Mink et al. 2009; Sills & Glebbeek 2010; Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2012; Vanbeveren, Mennekens, & De Greve 2012), but they need further efforts to put them on a more quantitative ground and explore their full viability. Most of these models[1] are based on star formation of a second generation from the ejecta of stars belonging to the cluster first generation stars, and require that the initial cluster mass had to be larger (by factors estimated in 5–20 times) than the present cluster mass.

⋆ E-mail: franca.dantona@gmail.com (FD); paolo.ventura@oa-roma.inaf.it (PV); thibaut.decressin@oa-roma.inaf.it (TD); evesperi@indiana.edu (EV); annibale.dercole@bo.astro.it (AD)

[1] An interesting different approach comes from the scenario by Marcolini et al. (2009) and Sánchez-Blázquez et al. (2012), where the chemical anomalies are already in place in the ambient where the cluster forms.

© 2014 RAS

Bastian et al. (2013) have recently proposed a new model according to which second generation stars are in fact born in the unique burst that gave origin to the cluster, and have modified their chemistry by accreting nuclearly processed matter lost by massive interacting binaries, during the first phases of the cluster lifetime. This model is an interesting variation of the accretion scenario proposed by D'Antona et al. (1983), using the large dimensions of the protostellar accretion discs to maximize accretion and make it possible for the entire lifetime of the disc, that may reach 10–20 Myr.

Detailed studies of the abundance patterns produced by the accretion model proposed by Bastian et al. (2013) are still lacking but, on the basis of the chemical properties of the ejecta of a binary star with a primary component of $20M_{\odot}$ and a secondary component of $15M_{\odot}$, these authors suggest that observed spreads abundances can be achieved and, at the same time, this model would not require the cluster to be initially more massive than now.

The observed abundance patterns provide some of the key (and more complex) constraints for all models of multiple population formation, and, in any assessment of the viability of different dynamical scenarios for the origin of multiple stellar populations, particular attention is to be paid to the scenario predictions concerning these patterns.

One of the challenges to be addressed by any model for the formation of multiple stellar generations is to understand whether it is possible to obtain significant helium differences between the first stellar population (the stars that do not accrete, that preserve the Big Bang helium mass fraction of Y$\sim$0.25) and the accreting stars. In fact, there are some clusters in which the main sequence (MS) is split, where the bluest parts of the sequence can be understood only by making the assumption that its stars have larger (and uniformly larger) helium content. The most critical of these evidences are the MSs of ω Cen and NGC 2808 (Bedin et al. 2004; Piotto et al. 2007)[2].

The first studies of the chemical properties produced by the early accretion model were carried out in Cassisi & Salaris (2014) and Salaris & Cassisi (2014).

Cassisi & Salaris (2014) nicely showed that there are difficulties in achieving populations with high helium, and each showing the small spread of helium that can be derived by examining the blue MS in NGC 2808. In Salaris & Cassisi (2014), the authors applied the same technique to explore what the early accretion scenario implies for the helium vs. lithium, or oxygen and/or sodium versus lithium patterns observed in some clusters. It is generally recognized that the lithium abundance in GC stars may provide a strong constraint for models of the formation of multiple populations, since the same nuclear processing responsible for the chemical signatures of multiple populations fully destroys lithium in all possible models, apart from the the AGB - super–AGB scenario (Ventura & D'Antona 2010). The relation between the abundance of lithium and that of other elements varying in multiple stellar populations may provide a key fingerprint of the polluters. D'Antona et al. (2012) explored the AGB– super AGB scenario, by computing the chemical evolution in some clusters, with interesting results. In particular, they can explain the lithium – oxygen data by Shen et al. (2010), that constitute a challenge for all models, and in particular for the early accretion scenario, as pointed by Salaris & Cassisi (2014).

As for the evolution of lithium abundance, we remark that the Bastian et al. (2013) accretion model has to deal with the problem that lithium burns as soon as the temperature in pre main sequence reaches $\sim 2.5 \times 10^6$ K. Lithium depletion generally occurs at an age of $\sim$2–3 Myr, at the border between the radiative core and the convective envelope. So the two problems, namely the (at least partial) survival of lithium and how large the core helium content can be, are subtly connected. A possible solution is indicated by Salaris & Cassisi (2014), and it consists in achieving the whole accretion in the first million year of the cluster evolution.

If the whole accretion process is to take place within the first million years of the cluster evolution, the amount of processed gas available will be much smaller (in fact, according to Fig. 4 of Bastian et al. (2013) no gas at all would be available before about 2 Myr) raising again the "mass-budget problem" and re–introducing the requirement of an initial cluster mass significantly larger than the current one.

Cassisi & Salaris (2014) and Salaris & Cassisi (2014) did not compute explicitly the accretion phase, and directly assumed that the required chemical composition could be achieved by homogeneously mixing helium rich matter with the original helium normal material of the seed star down to the center of the star, for the whole 10^7 yr of the disc survival around the pre-main sequence star. Thus in our computation we maintain the hypothesis that the accretion phase may last for 10–20 Myr and take a closer, although still very simplified, look at the resulting models.

In this work, we illustrate the additional problems faced by the accretion model, by computing explicitly the accretion phase. Although we make extreme (favorable for the scenario) assumptions on the composition of the accreting matter, assumed to have uniformly constant and very high helium content, we show that the phase during which the accreting matter can be convected to the central regions actually lasts a few million years, so that a prolonged accretion phase is not able to form stars having in the core the high and uniform helium content of NGC 2808 blue MSs. In addition, we show how the lithium surface content resulting from the accretion phase prolonged for 10 Myr, for a resulting mass of 0.7 $M_{\odot}$, varies by order of magnitudes, depending on the accreted mass.

In Sect. 2 we remind why we need full mixing of the accreted matter, as the helium differences among the different stellar populations must be achieved in the stellar core to have the required evolutionary effects. Sect. 3 describes the models. The results are in Sect. 4. In Sect. 4.4 we notice that refinement of our models would lead to worse problems for the accretion scenario. In fact, we do not include thermohaline mixing, which can possibly help to fully mix the accreted matter down to the stellar core, apparently helping the accretion model for what concerns the helium enrichment. Unfortunately, if total mixing occurs (and a small molecular weight inversion should be sufficient for the on-

[2] In both these clusters, a more direct spectroscopic evidence for helium differences has also been found among red giants, by Pasquini et al. (2011) (NGC 2808) and Dupree & Avrett (2013) (ω Cen).

set of the mechanism), it will also fully destroy any lithium surviving in the envelope. The conclusion is that either we can not have discrete and homogeneous contents of helium in the accreted stars, or we have to face the problem of a full lithium depletion, even in clusters with mild helium variations, contrary to present observations.

2 STELLAR STRUCTURE REQUIREMENTS

Observations show that most Globular Clusters show characteristic "chemical anomalies" that led to postulate two main phases of star formation. The evidence is that in many cases these anomalies are "mild" —e.g., small Oxygen depletion (by ∼–0.2 dex) and relatively small Sodium enhancement (by ∼0.4 dex)—, in other cases the anomalies are "strong" —e.g. $\lesssim 0.5$ dex in O and >+0.4 dex in Na—. In the latter case, these anomalies are also associated with very significant helium enhancement in the extreme population. While Bastian et al. (2013) quote Y=0.31 for the helium content of the second generation in NGC 2808, this value describes the "intermediate" SG stars in this cluster, while the most extreme population apparently attains Y≃0.38 (Piotto et al. 2007). Nevertheless, there can be some uncertainties in the actual figures, so it is possible to take as representative value for this population a smaller value, like Y=0.35. This is probably a reasonable value also for "blue main sequence" discovered in ω Cen (Anderson 1997; Bedin et al. 2004). The main difficulty with the accretion scenario is however the fact that the three MSs of NGC 2808, and the blue MS of ω Cen are well separated from the others, indicating that the spread in each value of Y relevant for the population under exam is small, if any. Of course, this is the most difficult achievement to be reached by an accretion model such as the one hypothesized by Bastian et al. (2013), as shown by Cassisi & Salaris (2014).

A key point in the MS location of models of different Y is the following: the structure in the phase of hydrogen burning —the rate of hydrogen burning, the core temperature and density, and as a consequence the stellar luminosity and radius for a fixed mass and metallicity— depend on the *central initial helium content,* Y_c, where the burning takes place. The difference in Y_c is also the key ingredient that leads to faster evolution of stars with higher Y_c. The consequence is that a smaller mass is evolving in the red giant branch at the age of the GC, and the thus the stars with larger helium have smaller mass and populate hotter parts of the horizontal branch (HB) (D'Antona et al. 2002). This is considered an important ingredient to understand the complex HB morphology and this point is supported also by spectroscopic observations of HBs, showing that sodium rich (second generation) are hotter than sodium normal (first generation) HB stars (e.g. Marino et al. 2011, 2014; Gratton et al. 2012, 2013). Thus, in exploring the viability of the accretion scenario, a key assumption is that that the star remains fully convective during the whole phase. This is the implicit assumption in Bastian et al. (2013) and Cassisi & Salaris (2014). However, it is important not to confuse two different timescales: the total duration of the pre–MS disc, which may channel and enhance accretion on the seed star, and the total duration of the fully convective phase. Low mass stars are convective in pre–MS for a time that depends on the stellar mass and stars with masses $\lesssim 0.35\ M_\odot$ remain fully convective down to the MS (e.g. D'Antona & Mazzitelli 1994). It is then important to check what abundances can be reached in the stellar core if we follow the whole accretion phase. Lithium too burns in the pre main sequence stars at similar ages of 2-3 Myr, so we also address this complementary issue.



Figure 1. Temporal evolution of accreting models in which the accretion time is fixed to 20Myr. We plot the surface Y_s and central Y_c helium abundance as a function of log time (years), during the evolution of accreting very low mass stars. Y_s has the value of the accreting gas until accretion stops, at 20 Myr. Y_c increases during the whole accretion phase, thanks to full mixing of the accreting gas within the whole star. When accretion stops, Y_c and Y_s have the same full mixing value. The accreting helium abundance is Y=0.44 for three cases in which the starting mass is 0.1 $M_\odot$, and final mass is 0.2 (full black line) 0.3 $M_\odot$(dotted red line) and 0.4 $M_\odot$(dashed blue line). The three lines representing Y_s are superimposed at the ordinate y=0.44 until accretion stops. One case having Y=0.64 in the accreting gas is also shown for the evolution up to 0.3 $M_\odot$, as dash-dotted magenta line.

3 DESCRIPTION OF THE MODELS

We use our stellar code ATON, whose recent updates are described in Ventura et al. 2008, to produce standard models of stellar masses M⩾0.4 $M_\odot$, starting from the pre–main sequence and evolved during the entire hydrogen burning phase, for the chemical composition $Z=2\times10^{-3}$ and Y=0.25, 0.30 and 0.35. Additional models from 0.25 to 0.7 $M_\odot$ are also built for Y=0.37. Isochrones from these models are representative of the stellar populations present in the cluster NGC 2808 according to the data presented in Piotto et al. 2007.

We start from an initial "seed" mass M_{in} and accrete at constant rate mass with the chosen "polluted" chemical composition. The matter is simply added at the stellar surface, at the same entropy of the outermost layers. Mixing of the accreted layer follows in the whole convective region.



Figure 2. We show the evolution with time of the convective mass fraction and of the central helium abundance Y_c, for three different total masses (0.5 $M_\odot$, left panel; 0.6 $M_\odot$, central panel; 0.7 $M_\odot$, right panel) starting accretion from different seed masses, as labelled in the figures. The accreting helium content is Y=0.44, and the accretion time is Δt is 10 Myr for all the evolutions. Two cases for Δt=20 Myr are also shown in the central panel (here the starting masses are 0.2 and 0.3 $M_\odot$).



Figure 3. We show the evolutionary tracks in the HR diagram of the models accreting matter with Y=0.44. As a guideline we show as light full lines (in brown) some evolutions at constant mass for Y=0.25. The grey dots mark the location of isochrones of 12 Gyr for the same metallicity and for Y=0.35 (left) and Y=0.25 (right). All the tracks are from the Δt=10 Myr computation. Once the final mass is reached, the evolution at constant mass is shown, until the age is equal to 12 Gyr. The red segments connect the different models at 12 Gyr, to show their location, compared to isochrones of constant helium abundance. Left panel: evolutions ending in total mass of 0.5 $M_\odot$ and starting from 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 $M_\odot$ (locations from right to left). From right to left, the brown lines are the evolution at constant mass for 0.2, 0.4 and 0.5 $M_\odot$ (Y=0.25). Central panel: evolutions ending in a total mass of 0.6 $M_\odot$, and starting from 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 $M_\odot$; constant mass evolutions are given for 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 and 0.6 $M_\odot$ in brown. Right panel: evolutions ending in 0.7 $M_\odot$ starting from 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 $M_\odot$. Constant mass tracks for 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.7 $M_\odot$ are plotted in brown.

We adopt a simple scheme for the accretion rate, namely, we fix the final total mass M_{fin} that we wish to achieve, and the total timescale of accretion Δt. The rate is the resulting

$$\dot{M} = \frac{M_{fin} - M_{in}}{\Delta t}$$

We chose two possible values of Δt: 20 Myr and 10 Myr. The longer timescale is a typical upper value to the duration of the accretion disc in star forming regions, and is the value adopted by Bastian et al. (2013) for the model they propose, while the shorter time is chosen to achieve the maximum helium pollution at the center of accreting stars (see later). Actually, the disk fraction in low mass stars is about 50% for star forming regions dated 1–3 Myr, while it is already as low as 25% in Upper Sco, dated at 11 Myr (Luhman & Mamajek 2012). In the accretion model, the working hypothesis is that the presence of the matter lost by the massive binaries in the cluster core extends the activity of the disc, and its possibility to collect and accrete gas. The evolution of M_{fin} is then continued at constant mass until the age reaches typical age of GC stars (10–12 Gyr). The computed models are listed in Table 1. We use a very high helium content in the accreting gas. Specifically we have adopted Y=0.44 and Y=0.64. The first value (Y=0.44) is the maximum value of helium in the ejecta of the massive binary simulated by de Mink et al. (2009), in the first phase of mass lost to the cluster medium, while Y=0.64 is the content in the matter ejected during the second phase of contact evolution of the same binary. We emphasize that these values, then, are not average helium contents in the polluting matter, but are anyway chosen by Bastian et al. (2013) for their model. In addition, in order

Table 1. Models

Δt=10Myr			Y_{acc}=0.44		Y_{acc}=0.64	
N	M_{in}	M_{fin}	Y_c	Y_s	Y_c	Y_s
1	0.2	0.3	0.313		0.363	0.384
2	0.2	0.4	0.328	0.350	0.383	0.470
3	0.3	0.4	0.288	0.298	0.316	0.356
4	0.2	0.5	0.336	0.377	0.395	0.544
5	0.3	0.5	0.300	0.366	0.336	0.438
6	0.4	0.5	0.273	0.294	0.285	0.341
7	0.2	0.6	0.344	0.403	0.416	0.635
8	0.3	0.6	0.311	0.368	0.349	0.526
9	0.4	0.6	0.284	0.331	0.302	0.428
10	0.5	0.6	0.264	0.291	0.269	0.337
11	0.3	0.7	0.317	0.399	0.357	0.638
12	0.4	0.7	0.294	0.368	0.312	0.544
13	0.5	0.7	0.271	0.334	0.282	0.436
14	0.4	0.8	0.299	0.415	0.320	0.639
15	0.5	0.8	0.282	0.383	0.290	0.617
Δt=20Myr			Y_{acc}=0.44		Y_{acc}=0.64	
N	M_{in}	M_{fin}	Y_c	Y_s	Y_c	Y_s
16	0.1	0.2	0.347		0.445	
17	0.1	0.3	0.377		0.514	
18	0.1	0.4	0.393		0.538	0.550
19	0.2	0.4	0.312	0.399	0.363	0.489
20	0.2	0.5	0.317	0.390	0.376	0.610
21	0.2	0.6	0.322	0.429	0.384	0.640
22	0.3	0.6	0.290	0.397	0.336	0.593
23	0.2	0.8	0.329	0.439	0.396	0.640
24	0.4	0.8	0.276	0.438	0.303	0.640

to reach a final core helium content much higher than the abundance of the standard population, the model requires that the accreted mass increases substantially the initial seed mass.

4 RESULTS

4.1 The most favorable case

Figure 1 shows the case in which the hypothesis of full mixing during the whole accretion phase is met: we plot the helium surface and core content along the evolution of 0.1 $M_\odot$, accreting up to total mass of 0.2, 0.3 $M_\odot$ or 0.4 $M_\odot$, within a total time of 20Myr. The star remains fully convective during the whole accretion phase, and at the end the helium content reaches an homogeneous value, that could have been computed as simply as $(0.25\times M_{in}+0.44\times(M_{fin}-M_{in}))/M_{fin}$(for the models with accretion Y=0.44). The result of these models are the only ones which conform to the starting hypothesis of Bastian et al. (2013), namely a full mixing throughout the seed star for the whole duration of the accretion phase.

4.2 The standard case

Figure 2 shows what happens in the general case, when the final mass is larger than ∼0.4 $M_\odot$, and the models do not remain fully convective. We show the result of accretion up to a total mass of 0.5 and 0.6 $M_\odot$, obtained from seed masses of 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 $M_\odot$, and 0.7 $M_\odot$, reached from seed masses of 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 $M_\odot$. On top (blue lines, scale on the left) we see the evolution with time of the convective mass fraction of the star, the the lower lines show Y_c. We see that full convection lasts between 2 and 5 Myr, so that only the mass accreted in the first 2 or 5 Myr increases Y_c. The central panel shows that the duration of the fully convective phase is longer (5–6 Myr) when the adopted accretion time is 20 Myr. This result depend on the fact that the stellar structure evolution depends on the mass reached by accretion at each time: a smaller Δt means a larger accreted mass (which evolves faster out of the fully convective phase) at earlier times.



Figure 4. The triangles mark the end of the fully convective phase in stars evolving at constant mass, having Y=0.25 (solid line), Y=0.30 (dashed) and Y=0.37 (dash–dotted). Note that below 0.45 $M_\odot$ the models with Y⩽0.30 remain fully convective down to the main sequence, while the models for Y=0.37 attain a radiative core, at larger ages, down to 0.3 $M_\odot$. The diagonal grey lines show the time evolution of the mass in accreting models, for the case Y_{acc}=0.44, ending at 0.5, 0.6 and 0.7 $M_\odot$. The squares along these lines fix the mass and age at which the radiative core appears. The corresponding value of Y_c can be read from column 4 of Table 1 (case Δt=10Myr). The figure shows that the ages at which the accreting models are no longer fully convective are close to the ages expected from evolution at constant mass for similar Y.

The HR diagrams in Figure 3 show the accreting tracks also after the accretion phase is over, until a typical age of globular cluster (12 Gyr) is reached at the constant final mass. The MS locations of the different tracks obtained for the masses 0.5, 0.6 and 0.7 $M_\odot$ are connected by red lines, and reflect the different Y_c reached during the accretion phase. The 0.7 $M_\odot$ figure also shows that at 12 Gyr the most helium rich model (that resulting from accretion on the seed mass 0.3 $M_\odot$, having a final Y_c=0.317, is clearly evolved past the turnoff.

For completeness we show in detail, in Fig. 4, the age

at the end of the fully convective phase, as a function of the total mass, for three different helium contents (Y=0.25, 0.30 and 0.37) for the models evolving at constant mass. Notice that at each mass the time of full convection decreases for larger Y. In addition, while masses M$\leqslant$0.4 $M_{\odot}$remain fully convective for Y=0.25 and Y=0.30, the Y=0.37 models develop a radiative core even at 0.3 $M_{\odot}$. We also show the straight lines of evolution with mass accretion, ending at 0.5, 0.6 and 0.7 $M_{\odot}$ (dotted). Along these lines we identify with squares the mass and age at which these accreting tracks begin to develop a radiative core. These times are reasonably close to the corresponding ones for constant mass evolution (taking into account the helium content reached in the model during accretion).

It is interesting to compare the results in Table 1 for Δt=10 Myr with the predictions by Cassisi & Salaris (2014), based on the hypothesis of full mixing for the entire accretion timescale. The central helium abundances in our models are systematically smaller than those obtained in their framework. For example, for the case Y_{acc}=0.44, their 0.2 (initial) to 0.6 (final) $M_{\odot}$ sequences ends in a blue main sequence star in NGC 2808, with Y$\sim$0.38, but the central abundance in our corresponding sequence is Y_c=0.344. Their 0.4 (initial) to 0.7 (final) $M_{\odot}$ sequence ends on the middle MS of NGC 2808, at Y=0.33, but our corresponding sequence only attains a central Y=0.294.

4.3 Exemplifying the lithium mixing case

Figure 5 shows the results of simulations in which the total mass obtained is 0.7 $M_{\odot}$, starting from 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 and 0.6 $M_{\odot}$, and keeping the timescale at 10Myr. The left panel shows the temporal evolution of the lithium content (full lines) at the inner border of the convective envelope: the phase of lithium burning is achieved at an age of $< 3\times10^6$ yr. At the same time, as shown in the right panel of Fig. 5 (lines at the bottom), the convective core disappears, and we see at the surface the effects of lithium burning at the retreating border of the convective envelope[3]. The final results of the four different cases is shown by the triangles, which indicate the level of lithium abundance in the final 0.7 $M_{\odot}$ models, at the end of the accretion phase. Obviously, the abundance is lower for larger amounts of Li-depleted accreted matter. This confirms Salaris & Cassisi (2014) result that very fast accretion is needed to maintain a non negligible lithium content in the accreting stars.

4.4 Possible effects of internal mixing

Our models do not take into account mixing except in convective zones. Chemicals elements can be transported by rotation-induced mixing, atomic diffusion processes and thermohaline instability. Rotation-induced mixing and atomic diffusion will act on long evolutionary time-scale compared to the accretion time (see e.g., Lagarde et al. 2012a and Richard et al. 2005). On the contrary thermohaline mixing can act on shorter timescale. In the case of accreting polluted matter onto the stars, the larger helium content in upper layers leads to the inversion of molecular weight and will provoke a thermohaline instability (Ulrich 1972; Kippenhahn et al. 1980) that could well succeed in chemically homogenise the star again. The efficiency of thermohaline mixing is still uncertain. Concerning the extra–mixing needed at the level of the red giant branch evolution in 1D models, see, e.g., Charbonnel & Lagarde (2010); Lagarde et al. (2012b), versus Cantiello & Langer (2010); Wachlin et al. (2011). Concerning 3D simulations see Denissenkov & Merryfield (2011); Traxler et al. (2011), versus Brown et al. (2013). In the present case, one important question is whether the homogenization of the chemistry is fast enough to lead to hydrogen burning modalities dominated by the fully mixed or by an intermediate helium stratification. Much further work is necessary to solve this issue.

Furthermore if thermohaline instability is occurring, the upper part of the stars will also be mixed. This will have huge impact for fragile elements like lithium which will be efficiently destroyed when thermohaline mixing links the surface (still lithium-rich) to the underneath lithium-burning region. Such effect has been investigated by Théado et al. (2009) and Théado & Vauclair (2012) in the case of accretion of planetary (metal rich) material to MS and PMS stars. Thus if the accretion takes place after the convective envelope retracts on the early PMS, the star will develop thermohaline instability and most of its lithium will be destroyed. It is important to stress here that, if thermohaline mixing is effective, it will be able to fully mix not only those stars for which we need to achieve a great helium overabundance in the core, as it is necessary to explain extreme cases such as NGC 2808. Even stars in which the overabundance of helium in the envelope is small will be subject to thermohaline mixing, and lithium will be fully destroyed! In this case, then, we should not see lithium in clusters like M4 or 47 Tuc, where Na-rich stars show a very mild lithium depletion (Monaco et al. 2012; Dobrovolskas et al. 2014). The only possibility to avoid such Li destruction will be that the accretion takes place before the radiative core appears. In this case, accretion will be limited to the first 2–3 Myr of the cluster evolution. Thus only a limited number of binaries (or fast rotating massive stars) will be able to contribute to the formation of stars with abundance anomalies. In fact, according to Bastian et al. (2013) (see their Fig. 4), no gas is released in the first 2 Myr.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have outlined two problems that must be taken into account in the assessment of the viability of the early accretion model of multiple populations in GCs. Both these problems are due to the constraints posed by pre–main sequence evolution on the chemical composition resulting in the accreted star. The first problem is that the fully convective phase of stars of M $\gtrsim$ 0.5 $M_{\odot}$ lasts for the first $\sim$3 Myr of evolution, so that massive accretion, such as envisioned by Bastian et al. (2013) to account for about half of the total stellar mass of the anomalous stars, will produce a smaller

[3] Obviously, lithium is also fully depleted below the convective envelope, as the temperatures there are larger, but the effect is not seen at the surface, unless, or until, extra–mixing mechanisms occur — see Sect.4.4.



Figure 5. Variation of the lithium abundance at the basis of the convective envelope (lines) as a function of the time (right) and of the accreting total mass (left) for models accreting for 10^7 yr from initial masses 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 and 0.6 $M_\odot$, and all ending at M=0.7 $M_\odot$. The resulting surface lithium abundance in the final 0.7 $M_\odot$model is highlighted by triangles. The core, or the interface between the convective envelope and the radiative core (shown at the bottom of the right figure as fractionally mass M_{ce}), reaches the temperature for lithium burning at an age between $\sim$ 1.6 (for the initial mass 0.3 $M_\odot$) and $\sim$3 Myr (for the initial mass 0.6 $M_\odot$).

central helium content than obtained by simply averaging the helium of the polluting matter and the initial helium of the star. As there is no reason to believe that a cosmic conspiracy allows exactly the mass accretion necessary to provide similar helium contents to different masses, the result is that illustrated by Figure 3, where we show that the location of the accreted stars of different masses do not follow isochrones of different helium content, but are spread at locations such that results as the "triple main sequence" of NGC 2808 can not be obtained. Furthermore the stochastic process of accretion on low-mass stars will be difficult to reconcile with discrete sequences.

The second problem is that also the burning of lithium begins for the pre–main sequence stars when the fully convective phase ends, at the boundary of the convective envelope. Consequently, the accretion of different mass budgets, reaching the same final mass, results in very different surface lithium contents at the surface of the accreted stars.

We notice that additional mixing mechanisms, such as thermohaline mixing, can operate to homogenize the helium content within the stars, but would also be fully destructive for lithium, contrary to the evidence of the presence of finite lithium abundances at the surface of second generation stars.

One way to avoid these problems is to require that the whole accretion phase takes place in the very first million years of the cluster life, as suggested by Salaris & Cassisi (2014). However, very little mass or no mass at all is released in the first one–two million years, and therefore the early accretion scenario is affected by the mass budget problem (and possibly by a much more severe form of it) that it was meant to solve.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Maurizio Salaris for his careful reading of the manuscript and for a useful referee's report. F.D., A.D and P.V. have been supported by the PRIN–INAF 2011 "Multiple populations in globular clusters: their role in the Galaxy assembly", P.I. E. Carretta. TD acknowledges financial support from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 267251 Astronomy Fellowships in Italy (AstroFIt). EV was supported in part by grant NASA-NNX13AF45G.

REFERENCES

Anderson, J. 1997, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. California, Berkeley
Bastian, N., Lamers, H. J. G. L. M., de Mink, S. E., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 436, 2398
Bedin, L. R., Piotto, G., Anderson, J., et al. 2004, ApJ, 605, L125
Bekki, K. 2011, MNRAS, 412, 2241
Brown, J. M., Garaud, P., & Stellmach, S. 2013, ApJ, 768, 34
Cantiello, M., & Langer, N. 2010, A&A, 521, A9
Cassisi, S., & Salaris, M. 2014, A&A, 563, A10
Charbonnel, C., & Lagarde, N. 2010, A&A, 522, A10
D'Antona, F., Gratton, R., & Chieffi, A. 1983, Memorie S.A. It., 54, 173
D'Antona, F., & Mazzitelli, I. 1994, ApJS, 90, 467
D'Antona, F., Caloi, V., Montalbán, J., Ventura, P., & Gratton, R. 2002, A&A, 395, 69
D'Antona, F., & Caloi, V. 2004, ApJ, 611, 871
D'Antona, F., D'Ercole, A., Carini, R., Vesperini, E., & Ventura, P. 2012, MNRAS, 426, 1710

Decressin, T., Meynet, G., Charbonnel, C., Prantzos, N., & Ekström, S. 2007, A&A, 464, 1029
de Mink, S. E., Pols, O. R., Langer, N., & Izzard, R. G. 2009, A&A, 507, L1
Denissenkov, P. A., & Merryfield, W. J. 2011, ApJ, 727, L8
D'Ercole, A., Vesperini, E., D'Antona, F., McMillan, S. L. W., & Recchi, S. 2008, MNRAS, 391, 825
D'Ercole, A., D'Antona, F., Ventura, P., Vesperini, E., & McMillan, S. L. W. 2010, MNRAS, 407, 854
D'Ercole, A., D'Antona, F., Carini, R., Vesperini, E., & Ventura, P. 2012, MNRAS, 423, 1521
Dobrovolskas V., et al., 2014, A&A, 565, A121
Dupree A. K., Avrett E. H., 2013, ApJ, 773, L28
Gratton R. G., et al., 2012, A&A, 539, A19
Gratton R. G., et al., 2013, A&A, 549, A41
Karakas, A. I., Fenner, Y., Sills, A., Campbell, S. W., & Lattanzio, J. C. 2006, ApJ, 652, 1240
Kippenhahn, R., Ruschenplatt, G., & Thomas, H.-C. 1980, A&A, 91, 175
Lagarde, N., Decressin, T., Charbonnel, C., et al. 2012a, A&A, 543, 108
Lagarde, N., Romano, D., Charbonnel, C., et al. 2012b, A&A, 542, A62
Luhman, K. L., & Mamajek, E. E. 2012, ApJ, 758, 31
Marino A. F., Villanova S., Milone A. P., Piotto G., Lind K., Geisler D., Stetson P. B., 2011, ApJ, 730, L16
Marcolini A., Gibson B. K., Karakas A. I., Sánchez-Blázquez P., 2009, MNRAS, 395, 719
Marino A. F., et al., 2014, MNRAS, 437, 1609
Meynet, G., Ekström, S., & Maeder, A. 2006, A&A, 447, 623
Monaco L., Villanova S., Bonifacio P., Caffau E., Geisler D., Marconi G., Momany Y., Ludwig H.-G., 2012, A&A, 539, A157
Pasquini L., Mauas P., Käufl H. U., Cacciari C., 2011, A&A, 531, A35
Piotto, G., Bedin, L. R., Anderson, J., et al. 2007, ApJ, 661, L53
Prantzos, N., & Charbonnel, C. 2006, A&A, 458, 135
Richard, O., Michaud, G., Richer, J., 2005, ApJ, 619, 538
Salaris, M. & Cassisi, S., 2014, arXiv:1404.6123
Sánchez-Blázquez P., Marcolini A., Gibson B. K., Karakas A. I., Pilkington K., Calura F., 2012, MNRAS, 419, 1376
Shen, Z.-X., Bonifacio, P., Pasquini, L., & Zaggia, S. 2010, A&A, 524, L2
Sills, A., & Glebbeek, E. 2010, MNRAS, 407, 277
Théado, S., Vauclair, S., Alecian, G., & Le Blanc, F. 2009, ApJ, 704, 1262
Théado, S., Vauclair, S. 2012, A?pJ, 744, 123
Traxler, A., Garaud, P., & Stellmach, S. 2011, ApJ, 728, L29
Ulrich, R. K. 1972, ApJ, 172, 165
Vanbeveren D., Mennekens N., De Greve J. P., 2012, A&A, 543, A4
Ventura, P., D'Antona, F., Mazzitelli, I., & Gratton, R. 2001, ApJ, 550, L65
Ventura P., D'Antona F., 2010, MNRAS, 402, L72
Wachlin, F. C., Miller Bertolami, M. M., & Althaus, L. G. 2011, A&A, 533, A139